

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 OAKLAND DIVISION
11

12 **LEWIS DOMINIC SHAW,**

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 **L. THOMAS, et al.,**

16 Defendants.
17
18

Case No. 17-cv-00462-YGR (PR)

**~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' SECOND MOTION TO
CHANGE TIME TO FILE A
DISPOSITIVE MOTION**

19 Defendants N. Adam, D. Alpaugh, B. Fellows, D. George, N. Ikegbu, D. Jacobsen,
20 M. McLean, S. Risenhoover, M. Sayre, L. Thomas, and D. Venes (Defendants) filed a second
21 motion to change the deadline to file a dispositive motion, from January 15, 2018 to March 1,
22 2018. The Court has read and considered Defendants' motion and the accompanying declaration
23 of counsel, and finds that good cause exists to grant the motion.


24 Accordingly, Defendants' second motion to change time to file a dispositive motion is
25 GRANTED. The time for Defendants to file their motion is changed to **March 1, 2018**.
26 Plaintiff's opposition to Defendants' motion must be served and filed no more than **twenty-eight**
27 **(28) days** after the Defendants' motion is served and filed. Defendants shall file their reply no
28

1 more than **fourteen (14) days** after Plaintiff's opposition is entered in the Court's docket. The
2 motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply is filed.

3 The Court notes that this is the second extension in this case. The granting of regular
4 requests for extension should not be expected.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6
7 Dated: January 19, 2018


8 THE HONORABLE YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28